REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are in the case. Claims 13-16 stand withdrawn. Claim 5 is rejected. Claims 1-4 and 6-12 stand allowable. With the allowance of the product claims, claims 13-16 are now subject to being rejoined.

Applicants acknowledge with thanks the courtesy extended by the examiner during the applicant initiated interview of August 22, 2005. During that interview applicant queried what appeared to be a typographical error in the Office Action Summary and the applicability of paragraph 6 of the Detailed Action. The examiner confirmed that the Office Action Summary did contain an error and that paragraph 6 was not applicable. Thus, the only outstanding rejection was in paragraph 5 of the office action rejecting claim 5 under 35 USC 112 as containing a reference to figure 3.

Applicants have amended claim 5 to delete the reference to the drawing and place the claim in proper form for allowance.

Pursuant to the rejoinder procedure set forth in MPEP 821.04, withdrawn process claims 13-16 are now subject to being rejoined. Claims 13-16 has been amended as to format to place them in condition for immediate allowance.

Any fee due with this paper, not fully covered by an enclosed check, may be charged on Deposit Account 50-1290.

Respectfully submitted,

KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMA

By:

Serle Ian Mosoff
Registration No. 25,900
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Appl No. 10/629,519 Amdt. dated August 22, 2005 Reply to Office action of February 23, 2005 Page 6

CUSTOMER NUMBER 026304

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022-2585 Tel: (212) 940-8717 Fax: (212) 940-8986

Email (Serle Mosoff@kanenlaw.com)